



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 10/645,762 | 08/20/2003 | David W. Taylor | DON01 P-1103 | 8047 |
| 28101 | 7590 | 03/08/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| VAN DYKE, GARDNER, LINN AND BURKHART, LLP 2851 CHARLEVOIX DRIVE, S.E. P.O. BOX 888695 GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49588-8695 | | | LOUIS JACQUES, JACQUES H | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3661 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/645,762 | TAYLOR ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Jacques H. Louis-Jacques | 3661 | |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-28 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 28, 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendments & Arguments

2. The amendments and arguments filed after final on February 1, 2006 have been entered with the request for continued examination (RCE) filed on February 28, 2006.

Applicant argued that "Walker et al does not discloses or suggest generating a change in information displayed in response to the current actual geographical location of the vehicle being inconsistent with a determined route between the initial geographic location and the destination geographic location." Emphasis added. The Examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant contented that "a change in current location does not mean that route changes" and that "the change in vehicle location referenced in the office action with respect to Walker et al is a change is vehicle location along the route, such that the route does not change."

Art Unit: 3661

First, it is noted that claim 1 (taken as exemplary) recites a change in information displayed in response to the current actual geographic location of the vehicle being inconsistent with the determined route.

Walker et al discloses a system for providing directions with visual cues including navigational instructions with representations of photographs of geographical locations along the route to be traveled (abstract). Walker et al discloses outputting in sequence navigation instructions and photographic representations of the geographic locations by providing a sequence of directions (at least two) and photographic representations of geographic locations along the route (abstract). Each of the photographic representations is coded with a particular geographic location along the route (figures 5 and 6). Figure 8 shows computing a route between twos (starting point and destination) in step 803 and assembling instructions based on the computed route. Walker et al also discloses retrieving list of instructions along with photographic representations along the route (figure 9). As the current location changes, different photographic representations are retrieved and outputted to the user (figure 9). See also figure 10. Walker et al, in column 1, recognizes that it would be easier for a user to follow driving instructions if visual cues, such as photographic representations, were provided along with the instructions. See column 1, lines 16-32; column 4 line 59-67 and column 6, lines 55-64.

Also, as described in column 7, lines 26-45, 54 to column 8, line 5, Walker et al discloses the condition when a new route is determined to provide a different instructions. Thus, it is submitted that Walker et al discloses that a change in information displayed in response

Art Unit: 3661

to the current actual geographic location of the vehicle being inconsistent with the determined route.

With respect to the Kepler reference, it is noted that Kepler, like Walker et al, discloses providing driving instructions along with waypoints (points of interest) on the traveled route. See column 3, lines 1-15, 46-64, column 6 and column 7, lines 21-50. In addition, Kepler discloses providing a plurality of (at least two) instructions on a determined route. See column 8, lines 45-60 and column 9. Kepler also notes the possibility of determining an “alternative route” and provides instructions to navigate along the alternative route. See column 9.

Applicant has amended the claims (claim 12, 20) to recite, in part, a plurality of intermediate geographic locations between the initial geographic location and the destination geographic location.

Kepler discloses a plurality of intermediate locations along the determined route between an initial location and a destination. See column 7, lines 41-50 and column 8, lines 45-60.

Walker et al also discloses a plurality of intermediate locations along the determined route between an initial location and a destination. See figure 10 (1002), columns 7-8.

Correia et al [US 2003/0069690] discloses a method and system for navigation-enhanced directory assistance, wherein a plurality of routing instructions is determined based on selected point of interest, and wherein at least one of the routing instructions is provided to a mobile vehicle in response to a routing option input (abstract, [0006], [0011]). In addition, Correia et al discloses a change in information displayed in response to the current actual geographic location of the vehicle being inconsistent with the determined

route. That is, when the vehicle deviates from the determined route, the information displayed to the driver is changed. See paragraph [0070].

Sziraki et al [6,912,396] discloses a vehicle telematics radio operable for providing and disabling driving directions to pre-selected destinations.

Bullock et al [6,810,323] discloses a system and method for storing and using information associated with geographic locations of interest to a mobile user. See abstract, columns 1-2, 6-7.

Rigo et al [US 2002/0049535] discloses a wireless interactive voice-actuated mobile telematics system.

In light of the above, the rejection is maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1-7, 9, 12-14, 16-17, 20-22, and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al [6,199,014] in view of Kepler [6,477,460].

Walker et al discloses a system for providing driving directions and visual cues.

According to Walker et al, the navigation system comprises a vehicle-based telematics system (e.g., 102), figure 3), a vehicle-based global positioning system operable to determine a geographic position of the vehicle (column 1) and a control (e.g., 101),

Art Unit: 3661

wherein the telematics system (102) being operable to receive a user input from a driver of the vehicle and download directional information from an external service provider to the control in response to the user input and an initial geographic position of the vehicle (columns 3 and 4), the directional information comprising at least two instructions with each of the at least two instructions being coded or associated with or linked to a respective geographic location (column 4), the control being operable to provide an output corresponding to each of the at least two instructions in response to a current actual geographic position of the vehicle determined by the vehicle-based global positioning system (columns 5 and 6). Walker et al discloses that the *telematics system is a wireless system that is operable to wirelessly communicate between the vehicle and a remote service provider and is operable to download directional information from the external service provider to the control while the vehicle is being driven along a road. More particular, figure 1 shows a telematics system coupled to a service provider or external source for downloading guidance information. In column 5, lines 15-18, Walker et al discloses that the communication link can be an on-line or web connection, thus a wireless connection. In column 8, Walker discloses the interface unit is remote from the central controller. The interface then conveys the navigational instruction to the system user, for example, through a display screen. Figure 9 (item 904) provided the condition when the current location changes, thus when the route changes, to provide different instructions with appropriate photographs. See also column 6. The system also provides a user interface for providing user input, wherein the system (telematics system) can determine a destination geographic location, i.e. a photographic location, based on the*

user input. See columns 3 and 4. As described in column 8, the display (i.e., instructions) is updated as the vehicle approaches the locations described. See also column 9, lines 7-21. Walker et al also discloses a plurality of intermediate locations along the determined route between an initial location and a destination. See figure 10 (1002), columns 7-8. In column 1, Walker et al recognizes that it may be easier for some people to remember landmarks than the details of a printed map. People can become lost despite being given both a reasonable map and written directions on how to following it. According to Walker et al, directions that use objects in the environment that we are likely to notice are more easily followed; for example, "You'll drive for a couple of miles and then pass a bright red farmhouse all by itself; take the first left after that." However, Walker et al does not specifically discloses that the control being operable to provide each instruction only when the current actual geographic position of the vehicle at least generally corresponds to the particular geographic location associated with each instruction. *Walker et al also discloses providing at least one new instruction to direct the driver of the vehicle toward the destination geographic location.* See column 9. Kepler, on the other hand, discloses a process and system for the annotation of machine-generated directions with easily recognized landmarks and other relevant information. According to Kepler, once the coordinates of interest are determined, a database is scanned to identify one or more landmarks or establishments within a definable zone about the coordinates. And, once the landmarks or establishments are decided upon, driving directions are generated that incorporate one or more of the landmarks or establishments within the zone in the instructions regarding navigation of the route. See abstract, figures 1, 3 and 6. According

Art Unit: 3661

to Kepler, the control being operable to provide each instruction only when the then current actual geographic position of the vehicle at least generally corresponds to the particular geographic location associated with each instruction. See columns 5-6 and 8-9. Kepler, like Walker et al, discloses that the control is operable to tag or code each of the instructions with a respective geographic location (i.e., geocode) and is operable to only provide a particular one of the instructions when the respective geographic location tagged or coded to the particular instruction at least generally corresponds to the then current actual geographic position of the vehicle. See Walker et al at columns 1 and 2 and Kepler at column 2. Kepler also discloses that each of the at least two downloaded instructions is tagged or coded with or linked to a respective particular geographic location, said control being operable to only display a particular instruction when the respective geographic location tagged or coded or linked to the particular instruction at least generally corresponds to the then current actual geographic position of the vehicle. See columns 3 and 4. Kepler discloses a plurality of intermediate locations along the determined route between an initial location and a destination. See column 7, lines 41-50 and column 8, lines 45-60. According to both Walker et al and Kepler, the user input comprises a vocal input from the driver or occupant of the vehicle to a service center associated with said vehicle-based telematics system. See Walker et al at column 5. The initial geographic position of the vehicle is communicated to the service center via the vehicle-based global positioning system. The at least two instructions are provided by the control as an audible message (Kepler at column 8) or as a visible display (Kepler at figure 1, 3). See also Walker et al at column 8. The visible display comprises at least one

Art Unit: 3661

of a display on demand display element, a thin film transistor liquid crystal display element, a multi-pixel display element and a multi-icon display element. See Walker et al at column 8. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the system of Walker et al by incorporating the features from the system of Kepler because such modification would provide driving instructions or directions that are easier to follow.

5. Claims 8, 10, 11, 15, 18, 19, 23, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al in view of Kepler as applied to claim 1, 12 and 20 above, and further in view of DeLine et al [6,420,975].

Neither Walker et al nor Kepler specifically teaches the interior rearview mirror display and the seat adjustment. DeLine et al, on the other hand, discloses an interior rearview mirror processing system. According to DeLine et al, there is provided a visible display at an interior rearview mirror assembly of the vehicle for use in connection with in-vehicle telematics systems or vehicle-based telematics systems, such as General Motors' ONSTAR. See column 10, 11, 27, and 36. In addition, DeLine et al discloses a seat adjustment system, wherein the seat adjustment system being operable to adjust a seat of the vehicle in response to data received via at least one of a vehicle-based telematics system and a vehicle-based global positioning system in response to biometric data pertaining to the occupant of the seat of the vehicle (columns 16, 17). See also figure 16, column 6, lines 38-64, column 9, lines 39-46, column 23, column 27, and columns 43-44. Thus, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated to modify the combination of Walker et al and Kepler by

Art Unit: 3661

incorporating the features from the interior rearview mirror of DeLine et al because such modification would provide a more efficient system.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

| | | |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------|
| 6,748,211 | Isaac et al | Jun. 2004 |
| 6,810,323 | Bullock et al | Oct. 2004 |
| 6,912,396 | Sziraki et al | Jun. 2005 |
| US 2002/0049535A1 | Rigo et al | Apr. 2002 |
| US 2003/0069690A1 | Correia et al | Apr. 2003 |
| US 2004/0077359A1 | Bernas et al | Apr. 2004 |

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacques H. Louis-Jacques whose telephone number is 571-272-6962. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3661

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jacques H Louis-Jacques
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3661

/jlj

Jacques H. Louis-Jacques
JACQUES H. LOUIS-JACQUES
PRIMARY EXAMINER